UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,542	06/24/2005	Michael R. Bonner	SSY-104-B	7185
48980 YOUNG BASI	7590 09/08/200 LE	EXAMINER		
	G BEAVER ROAD	FLANIGAN, ALLEN J		
SUITE 624 TROY, MI 48084			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/08/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@youngbasile.com audit@youngbasile.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/540,542	BONNER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Allen J. Flanigan	3744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 August 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited al (with appeal fee) in compliance w	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by (a) They raise new issues that would require further core.	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or 	•	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	04 Con attached Nation of Nan Con	nan liant Anaan duaant (I	OTOL 204\
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 	·		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 4,19 and 21-23.		be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5-18,24,26 and 27</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	∍d.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Allen J. Flanigan/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 37 <i>11</i>	
	Filliary Examiner, Art U	IIII J <i>i</i> 44	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicants arguments with respect to claim 1 appear to be based on the alleged failure of the prior art to disclose the use of a cover closeable about each of the plural profiles claimed. Assuming that the applicant concedes that the prior art fairly teaches/suggests plural profiles in contact with a fluid conduit (as pointed out in both Horner and Collito), applicants' position is logically untenable. Horner's illustrative embodiment shows a single tracer line attached to a conduit; applicants' position requires the assumption that one skilled in the art would selectively adopt Horner's suggestion of the use of additional tracer lines while deliberately ignoring the necessity, advantage, and means disclosed for affixing such lines to a conduit shown in the illustrative embodiments. Such a proposition is absurd on its face; the advantages of affixing a single tracer line via conductive cement, a cover, straps, and/or enclosing insulation 6 are equally applicable to plural tracer lines used with single or plural conduits, as one skilled in the art would readily appreciate. Regarding claim 10, the embodiment of Fig. 5 of Horner shows an enclosing layer of insulation 6 applied to the combined tracer line and conduit readable on the claimed "flexible insulating cover". Similarly, any of elements 3, 4, 6, or the unnumbered retaining straps of Fig. 4 are readable on the broadly recited "cover" of claims 24 and 27.